Analysis of Alum, AIK(SO₄)₂ · 12 H₂O

Partner: Cindy Date: Sep 15, 2011

Purpose:

The purpose of this experiment is to verify the identity of the alum by finding the properties of the substance; melting point and mole ratio of the water to the anhydrous. Every substance has unique characteristics which help to determine the identity of it. The actual values of the properties can be compared to the experimental values. In real life, this can be used to determine the unknown medicines.

Hypothesis:

The hypothesis is that the alum can be verified by finding the properties; the actual melting point of alum is 92.5(<u>http://cookeatshare.com/popular/melting-point-of-alum</u>) and the actual mole ratio of alum to water is 12:1, By finding the melting point and alum to water mole ratio, it can be compared to the real values

Equipment Part 1:

Aluminum potassium sulfate (1.5 g) 150 mL beakers (3) Bunsen burners (3) Capillary tubes (3) Mortar and pestle Notched stopper (3) Ring Stand (3) Thermometer, 0c ~ 100c (3) Clamp (3) Glycerol (few drops)

Equipment part 2:

Aluminum potassium sulfate (6g) Balance, 0.0001g precision Bunsen burner (3) Crucible and lid, 30mL (3) Tongs (3) Ring stands (3) Pipe stem (3) Wire gauzes (3)

Procedure part 1:

- 1. Pack the alum into a capillary tube with a length of $1 \sim 2$ cm
- 2. Tie the capillary tube to the thermometer using a rubber band with it's opening upside down.
- 3. Dip the top of the thermometer into glycerol
- 4. Push the thermometer through the stopper
- 5. Fasten the stopper with a clamp
- 6. Fill the beaker with 150 mL distiller water covering the tip of the thermometer and the capillary tube in the beaker.
- 7. boil the beaker until the alum in the capillary tube dissolves
- 8. Recoded the temperature change at which the alum melts
- 9. Repeat step #1~8 for 2 more trials

Procedure part 2

- 1. Get a ring stand that has a ring clamp.
- 2. Place a clay pipe stem on top of the ring clamp and the Bunsen burner beneath the ring clamp
- 3. Adjust the ring clamp so that it is one cm above the ring clamp
- 4. Place the crucible on top of the clay pipe stem a little slanted to the side
- 5. Light the Bunsen burner and heat it
- 6. Heat it until the crucible turns red hot
- 7. Using tongs, remove the crucible and place it on the wire gauze.
- 8. Wait for the crucible cool down completely
- 9. Mass the crucible and record the exact mass.
- 10. Add about 2g of alum and record the exact mass used
- 11. Place the crucible on top of the clay pipe stem a little slanted to the side
- 12. Gently heat the crucible until bubbling
- 13. After the bubbling has stopped, strongly heat it for additional five minutes
- 14. Using a tong, remove the crucible and place it on wire gauze
- 15. Wait for 10 minutes for it to cool down
- 16. Mass the crucible and record the exact mass of it
- 17. Repeat procedure #1~#16 for 2 more trials

Results:

Analysis of alum Part 1: (metling point)

Analysis of alum	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Measured melting point (Celsius)	87	91.5	89
Literature melting point (Celsius) http://cookeatshare.com/popular/mel ting-point-of-alum		92.5	92.5

Analysis of alum Part 2: Determination of the Water of Hydration in Alum Crystals

Analysis of alum	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Mass of crucible and cover (g)	45.9447	41.5092	43.2373
Mass of crucible, cover, and alum crystals (g)	47.9482	43.5127	45.2412
Mass of alum crystals (g)	2.0035	2.0035	2.0039
Mass of crucible, cover and alum after heating #1 (g)	47.0442	42.6023	44.336
Mass of crucible, cover and alum after heating #2 (g)	47.0217	42.5846	44.3265
Mass of water driven off (g)	0.9265	0.9281	0.9147
Mass of anhydrous alum, AIK(SO4)2 (g)	1.077	1.0754	1.0892
Moles H2O (moles)	0.05143	0.05152	0.05077
Moles AIK(SO4)2 (moles)	0.00417	0.00416	0.00422
Mole ratio; moles H2O/ moles AIK(SO4)2	12.33025	12.36992	12.03686

Observation:

When the alum was a powder it looked like thin flour. When the alum was heated it formed bubbles and turned into a gel like substance. Gradually as it was heated the bubbles looked more like foam turning from a transparent color to white. When the alum was heated second time, it looked like marshmallows but hard. It also was brittle and could be crushed into powder.

Analysis:

Mass of water driven off: (Mass of crucible, cover, and alum crystals) - (Mass of crucible, cover and alum after heating #2) Trial 1: 47.9482 - 47.0217 = 0.9265gTrial 2: 43.5127 - 42.5846 = 0.9281gTrial 3: 45.2412 - 44.3265 = 0.9147g

Mass of anhydrous alum, AlK(SO4)2: (Mass of alum crystals) – (Mass of water driven off) Trial 1: 2.0035 - 0.9265 = 1.0770g Trial 2: 2.0035 - 0.9281 = 1.0754g Trial 3: 2.0039 - 0.9147 = 1.0892g

Moles H2O: (Mass of water driven off) / (Molar mass of H2O) Trial 1: 0.9265 / 18.015 = 0.05143mol Trial 2: 0.9281 / 18.015 = 0.05152 mol Trial 3: 0.9147 / 18.015 = 0.05077 mol

Moles AlK(SO4)2 : (Mass of anhydrous alum, AlK(SO4)2) / (Molar mass of AlK(SO4)2) Trial 1: 1.0770 / 258.212 = 0.00417 mol Trial 2: 1.0754 / 258.212 = 0.00416 mol Trial 3: 1.0892 / 258.212 = 0.00422 mol

Mole ratio; moles H2O/ moles AIK(SO4)2: (Moles H2O) / (Moles AIK(SO4)2) Trial 1: 0.05143 / 0.00417 = 12.33025 Therefore: 12mol H2O : 1mol AIK(SO4)2 Trial 2: 0.05152 / 0.00416 = 12.36992 Therefore: 12mol H2O : 1mol AIK(SO4)2 Trial 3: 0.05077 / 0.00422 = 12.0369 Therefore: 12mol H2O : 1mol AIK(SO4)2

Percent error in mole ratio: 100 * (((Mole ratio) - (actual mole ratio)) / (actual mole ratio)) Trial 1: 100* ((12.33025 - 12) / 12) = 2.752 % Trial 2: 100* ((12.36992 - 12) / 12) = 3.083 % Trial 3: 100* ((12.03690 - 12) / 12) = 0.308 % Average percent error: (trial 1 + trial 2 + trial 3) / 3 (2.771 + 3.083 + 0.308) / 3 = 2.05 % Percent error in measured melting point: 100 - [(Measured melting point) / (Literature melting point) * 100] Trial 1: 100 - [(87 / 92.5) * 100] = 5.95%Trial 2: 100 - [(91.5 / 92.5) * 100] = 1.08%Trial 3: 100 - [(90 / 92.5) * 100] = 2.70%Average percent error: (Trial 1 + Trial 2 +Trial 3) / 3 (5.95 + 1.08 + 2.70) / 3 = 3.24%

Overall, the hypothesis of mole ratio has been confirmed; however, it contains a small percentage error. Neither the mole ratio nor the measured melting point has over 5% error. The mole ratio has 2.05% error and the measured melting point has 3.24% error.

Conclusion:

The hypothesis has been generally proven according to the analysis. The percent accuracy of the measured melting point 96.76% and the mole ratio is 97.05%. However, the result wasn't accurate since lots of error has occurred during the experiment. For example human errors have occurred while measuring the melting point of alum. Observing the melting point of alum in the experiment was precise but not accurate, because the exact point where the alum melts wasn't observable by human eyes. In the future there could be ways to eliminate this issue. The experiment could provide more trials or should wait for the alum to boil longer until it was fully melted, by that it will reach certain temperature when the substances fully melts. The other possible error that could have occurred during the experiment would be in procedure # 13, the heat energy might not be hot enough. By that the alum might not be fully dehydrated, therefore it would cause the mass of anhydrous alum to increase which leads to the increase of the mole ratio. In order to prevent this from happening again in future experiments, we should extend the heating time to around 15 minutes.



Procedure #13 of the experiment part 2



While grinding the alum